

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/775,448

Applicant(s)

GOODMAN ET AL.

Examiner

Thanh D. Vo

Art Unit

2189

All Participants:(1) Thanh D. Vo.(2) Keith Baxter (Reg. No. 31,233).**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 September 2007**Time:** 12:00 PM**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections to claims 21-22

Claims discussed:


21-22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***During the interview, Examiner indicates that the argument to claims 21-22 are not persuasive as previously argued by Examiner. Applicant authorizes Examiner to perform an Examiner Amendment to cancel claims 21-22.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)